Uro-Technology Journal 2026; 10(1): 20-25 ***20***
DOI: 10.31491/UTJ.2026.03.055

MINI REVIEW

The role of integral theory in contemporary urogynecologic reconstruction

Aybüke Tayarer[a, *]

[a] *Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kırşehir Ahi Evran University Faculty of Medicine, Kırşehir 40100, Türkiye.*

Abstract

Background: Female pelvic floor dysfunction presents with overlapping symptom complexes including stress urinary incontinence (SUI), urgency/nocturia, voiding difficulty, bowel dysfunction, and pelvic pain. The integral theory (IT) links these symptoms to laxity of the vagina and its suspensory ligaments and promotes "zone/compartment"–based symptom mapping to guide reconstructive decision-making.
Objective: To synthesize an IT-informed, evidence-aware framework for contemporary anti-incontinence and prolapse reconstruction, emphasizing symptom clustering, guideline-based pathways, and balanced counseling regarding mechanistic certainty.
Methods: Narrative synthesis of key concepts integrating IT compartment mapping with contemporary clinical assessment (focused examination by compartments, selective urodynamics) and established outcomes for mid-urethral sling (MUS) surgery, prolapse repair, and management of postoperative complications.
Results: Symptom clustering (SUI-dominant, urgency/nocturia-dominant, voiding difficulty, mixed) can structure evaluation across anterior, apical, and posterior compartments. Robust evidence supports high SUI cure/improvement rates after MUS and frequent improvement of overactive bladder (OAB) symptoms after prolapse repair, although de novo urgency and persistent storage symptoms remain clinically relevant. IT provides coherent hypotheses for symptom change after ligament- and compartment-directed reconstruction and offers an educational narrative for postoperative voiding dysfunction and salvage strategies. However, direct mechanistic validation remains limited, and alternative explanatory models continue to be debated.
Conclusion: IT is a useful complementary framework that can enrich preoperative reasoning and counseling when integrated with guideline-recommended decision pathways and outcomes data. Given the multifactorial nature of urgency, nocturia, and mixed presentations, mechanistic attributions should be presented as plausible and testable rather than definitive, supporting realistic expectations and individualized surgical planning.
Keywords: Integral theory, pelvic floor dysfunction, female urethral reconstruction, compartment-based assessment, stress urinary incontinence, mid-urethral sling, pelvic organ prolapse repair

Conceptual framework: integral theory and compartmentbased symptom clustering

The Integral Theory posits that prolapse and most pelvic floor symptoms including stress urinary incontinence (SUI), urge incontinence, abnormal bladder emptying, bowel dysfunction, and some pelvic pain are largely due to laxity of the vagina and its suspensory ligaments. In this review, these mechanistic links are presented as a theoretical explanatory model rather than as universally proven causal relationships. Central structures include the pubourethral ligament (PUL), arcus tendineus fascia pelvis, cardinal and uterosacral ligaments, and the perineal body, which interact with pelvic floor muscles to maintain urethral closure, support the bladder base, and modulate bladder afferent activity. Within this model, specific symptom clusters are mapped to "zones" or compartments; for example, SUI is linked to anterior compartment/PUL laxity, while urgency and nocturia may reflect apical and posterior support failure affecting bladder stretch receptors. Current evidence supports the clinical usefulness of compartment-based assessment, but direct proof that each symptom cluster is generated by a single ligament-specific defect remains limited.

* Corresponding author: Aybüke Tayarer
Mailing address: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kırşehir Ahi Evran University Faculty of Medicine, Kırşehir 40100, Türkiye.
E-mail: aybuketayarer@gmail.com
Received: 02 March 2026 / Revised: 19 February 2026
Accepted: 23 March 2026 / Published: 31 March 2026

Clinically, modern pelvic floor assessment also uses compartment thinking, but often framed in an anatomic and biomechanical rather than strictly integral paradigm. Symptom clustering (SUI-dominant, urgency/nocturiad-ominant, voiding difficulty, mixed) helps direct focused examination of anterior, apical, and posterior compartments, combined with urodynamics where appropriate. It is important to distinguish evidence-supported relationships such as high SUI cure rates after mid-urethral sling (MUS) procedures and overactive bladder (OAB) improvement in many women after pelvic organ prolapse (POP) repair from theory-based attributions of these outcomes to restoration of specific ligaments. integral theory (IT has generated testable hypotheses and ligament-targeted procedures, but its primacy over alternative models (*e.g.*, urethral "hammock," pressure-transmission theory) remains contested. For reconstructive urologists, an IT-informed but evidence-aware approach may enrich preoperative reasoning while avoiding overstatement of mechanistic certainty [1]. For practical decision-making, symptom clusters, key examination elements, and typical management pathways are outlined in Table 1.

Current topics in antiincontinence functional reconstruction

Midurethral sling decision-making

Patient selection and counseling

Contemporary EAU guidelines recommend synthetic retropubic or transobturator MUS as firstline surgical options for index women with SUI, given robust efficacy and acceptable safety profiles. Key selection factors include predominant SUI versus mixed incontinence, prior pelvic surgery, presence of significant OAB, obesity, comorbidities, and patient preference regarding synthetic mesh. Urodynamics is not mandated for straightforward SUI but is advised in nonindex patients, those with voiding symptoms, prior incontinence surgery, or suspected intrinsic sphincter deficiency or detrusor underactivity. Patients should be counseled on expected cure/improvement, risk of de novo urgency or voiding dysfunction, meshrelated complications, and alternative procedures such as autologous fascial slings or Burch colposuspension.

From an integral theory standpoint, MUS functions by reinforcing the PUL and midurethral support, restoring effective transmission of pelvic muscle forces that close the urethra during stress. This model also suggests that correcting anterior support may secondarily improve urgency when instability arises from ligamentous laxity and abnormal afferent activation, though this remains a theorybased interpretation rather than a universal mechanism. By contrast, evidence-based clinical data show heterogeneous urgency outcomes after MUS, which supports cautious counseling and avoids implying a single mechanism for postoperative storage symptom change. Clinically, some women indeed report improvement in urgency after MUS, but others develop de novo OAB, underscoring the multifactorial nature of storage symptoms [2].

Mechanisms of action and symptom outcomes

Randomized and observational data confirm that MUS procedures achieve cure or significant improvement in over 80% of women with SUI for up to 5 years, with accumulating evidence of durable benefit beyond this period. Retropubic and transobturator routes demonstrate broadly comparable SUI outcomes, but differ in complication profiles; retropubic slings carry higher risks of voiding dysfunction and bladder perforation, whereas transobturator slings may have more groin pain and less voiding obstruction. De novo urgency and OAB symptoms occur in a minority of patients, and preexisting OAB may persist or worsen, particularly when detrusor overactivity or central sensitization is the dominant driver.

IT offers a coherent mechanistic narrative, attributing de novo urgency to overcorrection or altered support that changes afferent signaling from the trigone and bladder base, and persistent urgency to uncorrected apical/posterior ligament laxity. However, these explanations are primarily extrapolated from symptom mapping and small series rather than controlled mechanistic studies, and should be presented to patients as hypotheses rather than proven causal relationships [3]. Accordingly, contemporary counseling should emphasize that evidence is stronger for clinical outcomes than for any single IT-derived mechanism explaining those outcomes.

Management of complications and "salvage" after SUI surgery

Obstruction and post sling voiding dysfunction

Postoperative voiding dysfunction after MUS, manifesting as urinary retention, slow stream, straining, or elevated residuals, affects approximately 3-10% of women, with most cases being transient. Predictors include retropubic approach, preexisting voiding difficulty, older age, and high immediate postoperative bladder volumes; careful voiding trials and avoidance of bladder overdistension are essential. Persistent obstruction warrants evaluation with uroflowmetry, postvoid residual urine volume (PVR), and often urodynamics to differentiate bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) from detrusor underactivity.

Management typically follows a staged pathway: early observation and intermittent selfcatheterization; if significant BOO persists, tape loosening or incision; and rarely, complete removal. IT would conceptualize these interventions as attempts to restore the optimal length–tension relationship of the PUL and midurethra so that continence is maintained without compromising voiding; however, this should be regarded as a theory-based educational explanation rather than a validated quantitative model for decision-making [4].

Mesh exposure, urethral erosion, chronic pelvic pain, dyspareunia, and recurrent SUI are challenging complications after MUS and other meshbased procedures. Management requires individualized planning that balances symptom

Table 1. Symptom clusters and compartment-focused reconstructive strategies in women.

Symptom cluster	Exam and basic tests focus	Likely support level/issue (IT + conventional)	Practical surgical/management implications
SUI-dominant (with or without mild urgency)	Stress test, Q-tip (if needed), POP-Q (anterior stage), urethral mobility; PVR if voiding complaints	Anterior compartment/PUL and suburethral support laxity; consider intrinsic sphincter deficiency in selected cases	First-line MUS (retropubic or transobturator) with guideline-based route selection. Alternatives: autologous fascial sling, Burch colposuspension. Consider concomitant POP repair if significant anterior defect. IT-informed: possible targeted PUL reinforcement; counsel that mechanistic explanation is theoretical.
Urgency/nocturia-dominant (with or without mild SUI)	Frequency–volume chart, urinalysis/culture, pelvic exam for apical/posterior prolapse; neurologic screen; consider urodynamics in complex cases	Multifactorial OAB/detrusor overactivity; apical (cardinal/uterosacral) and posterior support laxity may contribute; sensory and central factors common	First-line behavioral + pharmacologic therapy(antimuscarinic or beta-3 agonist). Consider POP repair if symptomatic prolapse present. Counsel that apical/posterior reconstruction may improve urgency/nocturia but is not guaranteed; de novo OAB possible.
Post-sling voiding difficulty	Abdominal + pelvic exam; scar/tape palpation; uroflowmetry + PVR; cystoscopy if indicated; urodynamics to confirm BOO *vs.* detrusor underactivity	Postoperative BOO from an overly tight sling; less commonly detrusor underactivity or urethral stricture; IT: excessive mid-urethral constraint disrupting muscle–ligament balance	Early phase: expectant management + intermittent catheterization if needed. Persistent BOO: tape mobilization/loosening or incision; consider complete removal if pain/erosion. Plan staged continence strategy (*e.g.*, autologous sling) if SUI recurs.
Mixed symptoms (SUI + urgency/nocturia ± POP)	Full pelvic exam with POP-Q, stress test; OAB questionnaires; bladder diary; PVR; selective urodynamics for discordant symptoms or prior surgery	Combined anterior and apical/posterior defects; possible primary OAB; complex interaction of structure and detrusor activity	Individualized plan: optimize conservative/OAB therapy; consider combined POP + MUS in selected women with significant SUI, mindful of guideline cautions (especially during abdominal prolapse surgery). IT-informed: map symptom "zones" to guide multi-compartment repair; counsel regarding limited mechanistic evidence.

Abbreviations: BOO, bladder outlet obstruction; OAB, overactive bladder; POP, pelvic organ prolapse; POP-Q, pelvic organ prolapse quantification system; PVR, post-void residual; PUL, pubourethral ligament; SUI, stress urinary incontinence; MUS, mid-urethral sling.

Notes: Symptom clusters are used to guide focused clinical assessment of anterior, apical, and posterior compartments. "Likely support level/issue" combines conventional anatomic/biomechanical reasoning with hypotheses derived from the integral theory (IT); IT-based mechanistic interpretations should be considered explanatory rather than definitive. Urodynamic testing is reserved for selected non-index or complex cases (*e.g.*, prior surgery, significant voiding symptoms, suspected detrusor underactivity or intrinsic sphincter deficiency). Management options should be individualized according to guideline recommendations, patient factors, and shared decision-making.

control, risk of recurrent incontinence, and tissue quality; options range from local estrogen and limited excision for small vaginal exposures to extensive mesh removal with urethral reconstruction in cases of erosion. Following mesh excision, recurrent SUI can be addressed with autologous fascial sling, Burch colposuspension, or carefully selected repeat MUS, though highquality comparative data are sparse.

From an IT-informed perspective, salvage strategies may include not only removal of offending mesh but also targeted reinforcement of deficient ligaments, such as native tissue or tapebased PUL or apical reconstructions, to treat complex mixed symptom patterns. These ligamentdirected approaches appear feasible and effective in observational cohorts, but randomized trials are lacking, and guideline recommendations remain largely techniqueagnostic regarding the underlying theoretical model [5, 6]. Therefore, any IT-based rationale for salvage reconstruction should be presented in parallel with the stronger evidence base supporting individualized complication management and shared decision-making.

Prolapse reconstruction and lower urinary tract symptoms

Why urgency/nocturia/voiding symptoms improve or persist after POP repair

Large series show that POP surgery leads to a reduction in bothersome OAB symptoms in many women, with frequency and urgency more likely to improve or resolve than urge urinary incontinence and nocturia. De novo OAB symptoms occur in approximately 5-6% of women, and absence of preoperative OAB is the strongest predictor of postoperative absence of these symptoms. More recent data indicate that combined apical and anterior repair, particularly when paired with concomitant MUS in appropriately selected women with SUI, can yield significant improvements in urgency and bladder emptying scores.

Nevertheless, persistent or new urgency and nocturia after anatomically successful POP repair are common clinical challenges. Potential mechanisms include residual or new detrusor overactivity, sensory hypersensitivity, agerelated changes, and changes in bladder outlet resistance; these factors extend beyond purely structural support. Thus, while POP repair often improves lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), it should not be presented as a definitive cure for all storage or voiding symptoms.

Within IT, correction of apical and posterior compartment defects is hypothesized to stabilize the bladder base, normalize stretch receptor activation, and reduce urgency and nocturia. This theory aligns with observed improvements in many patients but does not easily account for de novo OAB or for cases where urodynamic overactivity persists despite excellent support, illustrating the limitations of a purely ligament centric explanation [4, 7, 8]. Taken together, the available evidence supports symptom improvement after POP repair in many women, while the IT mechanism remains a plausible but not definitively validated explanation for why that improvement occurs.

Apical/posterior support considerations and counseling points

Apical reconstruction options include uterosacral ligament suspension, sacrospinous ligament fixation, and mesh or nativetissue sacrocolpopexy, each with distinct risk profiles and learning curves. Posterior repairs range from traditional posterior colporrhaphy to sitespecific fascial repairs and selective mesh use, with goals of restoring rectovaginal fascia support while minimizing dyspareunia and defecatory dysfunction. Counseling should emphasize that these procedures primarily aim to correct anatomic prolapse and related pressure/bulge symptoms, while LUTS may improve, remain stable, or rarely worsen.

Current EAU guidance cautions against routine concomitant antiincontinence procedures during abdominal POP repair due to increased risk of adverse events and the possibility of spontaneous SUI resolution once support is restored. Instead, a staged approach may be preferable for many women, with postoperative reassessment of SUI and OAB after prolapse correction.

IT provides a symptom–ligament map whereby urgency, nocturia, and certain pelvic pain syndromes are attributed to apical (cardinal/uterosacral) laxity, and obstructed defecation or some voiding symptoms to posterior compartment defects. Incorporating this map into clinical reasoning may help structure examination and guide targeted repairs, but clinicians should clearly explain that highlevel evidence directly linking specific ligament reconstruction to resolution of each symptom cluster is limited. In practice, this means using IT as a hypothesis-generating framework alongside guideline-based prolapse counseling rather than as a substitute for evidence-based prognostication. This balanced messaging is particularly important in an era of high patient expectations and increased scrutiny of meshbased interventions [9, 10].

Postoperative/iatrogenic voiding dysfunction and urethral/fistula reconstruction

Postsling voiding dysfunction and BOO

Postsling voiding dysfunction requires structured evaluation, beginning with a detailed history, physical examination, and symptom quantification (*e.g.*, diaries, validated questionnaires), alongside uroflowmetry and postvoid residual measurement. Early postoperative dysfunction is common and often transient, but persistent symptoms beyond the immediate postoperative period warrant further evaluation with urodynamics to differentiate BOO from detrusor underactivity or impaired contractility.

Initial management consists of expectant observation when symptoms are mild, with bladder protection ensured via intermittent selfcatheterization or temporary indwelling catheter in cases of significant retention. Pharmacologic treatment with antimuscarinics or beta3 agonists can address coexisting OAB, while alphablockers have limited

evidence in women. If obstruction persists with functional impact and urodynamic confirmation, tape mobilization or incision is indicated; complete removal is reserved for refractory cases or those with concomitant pain or erosion. IT conceptualizes these interventions as restoring the appropriate balance of muscle and ligament forces around the PUL and urethra, but current management algorithms are grounded in clinical outcome data rather than integral metrics, but this remains a theory-based interpretation and current management algorithms are grounded primarily in clinical outcome data rather than integral metrics [3, 9, 11].

Female urethral reconstruction and urethrovaginal/ vesicovaginal fistula

Female urethral reconstruction is relatively uncommon but increasingly reported, including flap or graft urethroplasty for strictures and repairs after sling erosion or trauma. A contemporary series of 14 women demonstrated 100% patency at median followup of 13–30 months, with low rates of recurrent incontinence and acceptable complication profiles. Some patients developed or retained stress or mixed incontinence after reconstruction, managed with medical therapy or staged continence procedures, underscoring the importance of functional followup beyond patency.

Vesicovaginal fistula (VVF) repair, whether via vaginal or abdominal route, generally achieves high closure rates, with metaanalysis suggesting no significant difference in success between approaches. The use of interpositional flaps or adjunctive measures such as fibrin glue has not consistently improved cure rates, and overall evidence quality is moderate to low. Importantly, successful anatomic closure is typically accompanied by improved lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and quality of life scores, although some women experience persistent incontinence, urgency, or sexual dysfunction requiring further management. While IT would view residual symptoms in terms of coexisting support defects and altered ligament muscle dynamics, that interpretation should again be considered theoretical, because current outcome studies do not permit direct mechanistic attribution. Management therefore continues to follow standard OAB and SUI pathways [9, 12, 13].

Conclusions

Integral theory has offered an influential and clinically practical way to conceptualize female pelvic floor dysfunction by linking symptom patterns to support mechanisms and encouraging a structured, compartment-based approach to evaluation and reconstruction. In contemporary practice, its concepts can be usefully integrated with guideline-based pathways and outcomes data for procedures such as mid-urethral slings, prolapse repair, and staged management of postoperative voiding dysfunction and mesh-related complications. At the same time, because many LUTS particularly urgency, nocturia, and mixed presentations, are multifactorial and may not resolve predictably after anatomical correction, mechanistic interpretations should be presented as plausible and testable rather than universally definitive. The strongest available evidence in this field supports procedure-specific outcomes and complication profiles, whereas IT most usefully contributes a structured theoretical lens for symptom interpretation and surgical reasoning. Overall, IT is best viewed as a valuable complementary framework that can enhance clinical reasoning and patient counseling while ongoing comparative and mechanistic studies continue to refine where ligament- and compartment-directed reconstruction delivers the most consistent benefit.

Declarations

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship: None.

Conflicts of interest: Not applicable.

Ethical approval and informed consent: Not applicable.

References

1. Petros P. The integral system. *Cent European J Urol*, 2011, 64(3): 110-119. [Crossref]
2. Petros P (2010). The integral theory system. A simplified clinical approach with illustrative case histories.
3. Petros P, Liedl B, Sivaslioglu A, Goeschen K, Inoue H, Sekiguchi Y, *et al*. Integral theory paradigm: common pelvic ligament pathogenesis guides management for urology, gynecology, coloproctology. *Urol Int*, 2026, 110(1): 77-89. [Crossref]
4. Quaghebeur J, Petros P, Wyndaele J, & De Wachter S. The integral theory, pelvic floor biomechanics, and binary innervation. *Int Neurourol J*, 2024, 28(3): 181-184. [Crossref]
5. Ford A, Rogerson L, Cody J, & Ogah J. Mid-urethral sling operations for stress urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015, (7): Cd006375. [Crossref]
6. Kobashi K, Vasavada S, Bloschichak A, Hermanson L, Kaczmarek J, Kim S, *et al*. Updates to surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence (SUI): AUA/ SUFU guideline (2023). *J Urol*, 2023, 209(6): 1091-1098. [Crossref]
7. Johnson J, High R, Dziadek O, Ocon A, Muir T, Xu J, *et al*. Overactive bladder symptoms after pelvic organ prolapse repair. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg*, 2020, 26(12): 742-745. [Crossref]
8. Liedl B, Inoue H, Sekiguchi Y, Gold D, Wagenlehner F, Haverfield M, *et al*. Update of the integral theory and system for management of pelvic floor dysfunction in females. *European Urology Supplements*, 2018, 17(3): 100-108. [Crossref]
9. Nambiar A, Arlandis S, Bø K, Cobussen-Boekhorst H,

Costantini E, de Heide M, *et al*. European association of urology guidelines on the diagnosis and management of female non-neurogenic lower urinary tract symptoms. Part 1: dagnostics, overactive bladder, stress urinary incontinence, and mixed urinary incontinence. *Eur Urol*, 2022, 82(1): 49-59. [Crossref]

10. Arlandis S, Bø K, Cobussen-Boekhorst H, Costantini E, de Heide M, Farag F, *et al*. European association of urology guidelines on the management of female non-neurogenic lower urinary tract symptoms. Part 2: uderactive bladder, bladder outlet obstruction, and nocturia. *Eur Urol*, 2022, 82(1): 60-70. [Crossref]
11. Daneshgari F, Kong W, & Swartz M. Complications of mid urethral slings: important outcomes for future clinical trials. *J Urol*, 2008, 180(5): 1890-1897. [Crossref]
12. Thompson J, Halder G, Jeppson P, Alas A, Balgobin S, Dieter A, *et al*. Repair of vesicovaginal fistulae: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2024, 143(2): 229-241. [Crossref]
13. de Toledo I, & DeLong J. Female urethral stricture: techniques for reconstruction. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*, 2022, 9: 5-9. [Crossref]

Cite this article as: Tayarer A. The role of integral theory in contemporary urogynecologic reconstruction. *Uro-Technology Journal*, 2026, 10(1): 20-25. doi: 10.31491/UTJ.2026.03.055